



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/905,399	07/16/2001	Frederick F. Loeb	AD-237J	5317
7	590 04/28/2005		EXAMINER	
Iandiorio & Teska			D AGOSTA, STEPHEN M	
260 Bear Hill Road Waltham, MA 02451-1018			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2683	
			DATE MAILED: 04/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/905,399	LOEB ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
	Alt Ollik		

7.47.00197.0001	03/300,033	EOLD LI AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Stephen M. D'Agosta	2683			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 18 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the followord places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
b) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	-	in the final rejection, wh	ichover is later. In		
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE (06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) a		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complising the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	·		the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		maliant Amandment	(DTOL 224)		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelin non-allowable claim(s). 					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a North date of filing a North day.	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	ice because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)			
		10			

Part of Paper No. 04222005

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 09/905,399

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The applicant has amended claim 1 which narrows the claim to require that the housing interconnects with an EXTERNAL circuit board only and thus requires a further search by the examiner. The applicant's arguments clearly state that the prior art does not teach connection to an external circuit board, so the examiner requires more searching to determine if other prior art can be located and applied.